

ENRIQUES SURFACES WITH EIGHT NODES

MARGARIDA MENDES LOPES, RITA PARDINI

ABSTRACT. A nodal Enriques surface can have at most 8 nodes. We give an explicit description of Enriques surfaces with 8 nodes, showing that they are quotients of products of elliptic curves by a group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ or to $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ acting freely in codimension 1. We use this result to show that if S is a minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 0$ such that the image of the bicanonical map is birational to an Enriques surface then $K_S^2 = 3$ and the bicanonical map is a morphism of degree 2.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J28, 14J29.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that a nodal Enriques surface has at most 8 nodes. In this note, applying the technique for the study of nodal surfaces developed in [DMP], we are able to characterize completely the Enriques surfaces with 8 nodes. We show that every such surface is a quotient of a product of elliptic curves by a group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ or to $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ acting freely in codimension 1 (see Theorem 4.1 for the precise statement).

In the last section we apply this classification result to show that if S is a minimal surface of general type with $p_g = 0$ such that the image of the bicanonical map φ is birational to an Enriques surface then $K_S^2 = 3$ and φ is a morphism of degree 2. This result refines Theorem 3 of [Xi1], ruling out one of the possibilities presented there.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we recall the facts we need from [DMP]; in section 3 we describe in detail the construction of Enriques surfaces with 8 nodes as quotients of products of elliptic curves; in section 4 we show that the 8 nodes on a nodal Enriques surface form an even set and we prove the classification theorem; finally, in section 5 we apply the previous result to the study of the bicanonical image of a surface with $p_g = 0$.

Acknowledgements. We wish to thank JongHae Keum who kindly communicated to us a different proof of Lemma 4.2.

The present collaboration takes place in the framework of the european contract EAGER, no. HPRN-CT-2000-00099. The first author is

a member of CMAF and of the Departamento de Matemática da Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa and the second author is a member of GNSAGA of CNR.

Notation and conventions. We work over the complex numbers; all varieties are assumed to be compact and algebraic. We do not distinguish between line bundles and divisors on a smooth variety, using the additive and the multiplicative notation interchangeably. Linear equivalence is denoted by $\equiv$ and numerical equivalence by $\sim_{num}$. $\text{Num}(X)$ is the group of line bundles of the variety X modulo numerical equivalence. As it is usual, we denote by $\kappa(X)$ the Kodaira dimension of a variety X and by $\rho(X)$ the Picard number of X .

We recall that a *nodal Enriques surface* Σ is a normal projective regular surface whose singular points are nodes and such that $K_\Sigma \not\equiv 0$, $2K_\Sigma \equiv 0$.

2. NODAL SURFACES AND CODES

In this section we recall the basic facts that we will need about nodal surfaces and we establish the notation.

A *nodal surface* Σ is a normal projective surface whose singular points are *nodes*, i.e. they are singularities analytically isomorphic to the hypersurface singularity $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$. In particular, Σ has canonical singularities and K_Σ is Cartier. Denote by $P_1 \dots P_k$ the nodes of Σ and let $\eta: Y \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the minimal resolution. One has $K_Y = \eta^* K_\Sigma$, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma)$ and for every $i = 1 \dots k$ the curve $C_i := \eta^{-1} P_i$ is a *nodal curve*, namely a smooth rational curve such that $C_i^2 = -2$, $K_Y C_i = 0$.

Conversely, given a surface Y and a disjoint set $C_1 \dots C_k$ of nodal curves of Y , there exist a nodal surface Σ and a birational morphism $\eta: Y \rightarrow \Sigma$ that contracts $C_1 \dots C_k$ to nodes $P_1 \dots P_k$ and is an isomorphism on the complement of $\cup_i C_i$. Thus it is equivalent to consider the nodal surface Σ or the smooth surface Y together with the set of disjoint nodal curves C_i .

The geometry of a nodal surface is often studied by means of the corresponding *binary code*. Recall that a binary code of length k is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^k$. Given a vector $v = (x^1 \dots x^k)$ of the code, the *weight* of v is the number of indices i such that $x^i \neq 0$. The code V associated to the set of disjoint nodal curves $C_1 \dots C_k \subset Y$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{F}_2^k \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)/2\text{Pic}(Y)$ defined by $(x^1 \dots x^k) \mapsto \sum x^i [C_i]$, where $[D]$ denotes the class of a divisor D . In other words, $v = (x^1 \dots x^k)$ is in V if and only if $\sum_i x^i C_i$ is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(Y)$, namely if and only if there is a line bundle L on Y such

that $2L \equiv \sum_i x^i C_i$. If for a nonempty subset I of $\{1 \dots k\}$ the element $\sum_{i \in I} C_i$ is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(Y)$, we say that $\{P_i \mid i \in I\}$ is an *even* set of nodes. Hence the nonzero elements of V correspond to the even sets of nodes of Σ .

Replacing $\text{Pic}(Y)$ by $\text{Num}(Y)$ and linear equivalence by numerical equivalence, one defines in the same way the code V_{num} . The nonzero vectors of V_{num} correspond to the *numerically even* sets of nodes of Σ . Clearly, V is contained in V_{num} and the two codes may or may not be equal. The code V_{num} can also be described in the following way. Denote by $\Gamma' \subset \text{Num}(Y)$ the lattice spanned by $C_1 \dots C_k$ and by Γ its primitive closure, namely the smallest primitive sublattice of $\text{Num}(Y)$ containing Γ' . The lattice Γ has rank k and the natural map $V_{\text{num}} \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma'$ defined by $(x^1 \dots x^k) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \sum_i x^i C_i$ is an isomorphism. If we denote by Δ the discriminant of Γ then we have the following relation:

$$(2.1) \quad 2^k = 2^{2 \dim V_{\text{num}}} \Delta.$$

Let $v = (x^1 \dots x^k) \in V_{\text{num}}$ and write $\sum_i x^i C_i \sim_{\text{num}} 2L$ for a suitable line bundle L of Y . Since $K_Y L = 0$, L^2 is even by the adjunction formula and thus the weight of v is divisible by 4. We say that a curve C_i *appears in* a subspace W of V (or V_{num}) if W is not contained in the subspace $\{x^i = 0\}$ of $\mathbb{F}_2^k$.

The following theorem from [DMP] shows how one can obtain information on the geometry of a nodal surface from the code associated to the set of nodes.

We recall that a Galois cover is said to be *totally ramified* if the Galois group is generated by the elements with nonempty fixed locus.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Σ be a nodal surface and let V be the corresponding code. Let $W \subset V$ be a subcode of dimension r and let m be the number of nodes of Σ that appear in W . Then there exists a totally ramified Galois cover $\pi: Z \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that:*

- i) *the Galois group of π is $G := \text{Hom}(W, \mathbb{C}^*)$;*
- ii) *π is branched precisely on the nodes of Σ that appear in W ;*
- iii) *Z is a nodal surface and the singular set of Z is the inverse image of the nodes of Σ that do not appear in W ;*
- iv) *the invariants of Z are the following:*

$$\kappa(Z) = \kappa(\Sigma); \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 2^r \chi(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma) - m 2^{r-3}; \quad K_Z^2 = 2^r K_\Sigma^2.$$

Proof. See [DMP], Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.3. Both propositions are stated only for the case $W = V$ but the proofs extend verbatim to the general case. $\square$

3. THE EXAMPLES

Recall that a nodal surface Σ is an Enriques surface if the minimal desingularization Y of Σ is an Enriques surface. It is well known that a nodal Enriques surface has at most 8 nodes (see, e.g., [Mi]). The following are two examples of Enriques surfaces with 8 nodes.

Example 1. Let D_1, D_2 be elliptic curves and let $a \in D_1, b \in D_2$ be nonzero points of order 2. Denote by e_1, e_2 the standard generators of $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$. We let $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ act on D_1 as follows:

$$x_1 \xrightarrow{e_1} -x_1; \quad x_1 \xrightarrow{e_2} x_1 + a.$$

Analogously we let $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ act on D_2 as:

$$x_2 \xrightarrow{e_1} x_2 + b; \quad x_2 \xrightarrow{e_2} -x_2.$$

We consider the diagonal action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ on $A := D_1 \times D_2$, we set $\Sigma := A/\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and we denote by $\pi: A \rightarrow \Sigma$ the quotient map. The singularities of Σ are 8 nodes that are the images of the 16 fixed points of $e_1 + e_2$. The map π is branched precisely on the nodes of Σ , hence we have $\pi^*K_\Sigma = K_A = 0$. Thus Σ is minimal of zero Kodaira dimension. Considering the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ on $H^0(A, \Omega_A^i)$, $i = 1, 2$ one checks that $p_g(\Sigma) = q(\Sigma) = 0$ and thus Σ is an Enriques surface. A similar argument shows that for $i = 1, 2$ the surface $Z_i := A/e_i$ is a smooth minimal bielliptic surface, while $K := A/(e_1 + e_2)$ is the Kummer surface of A . The projections $A \rightarrow D_i$, $i = 1, 2$, descend to pencils of elliptic curves $p_i: \Sigma \rightarrow D_i/\mathbb{Z}_2^2 = \mathbb{P}^1$. The singular fibres of p_i are the following: two smooth double fibres, occurring at the images in $\mathbb{P}^1$ of the points of order 2 of D_i , and two singular double fibres, occurring at the images in $\mathbb{P}^1$ of the fixed points of $e_1 + e_2$. Each singular double fibre contains 4 nodes of Σ and is supported on a smooth rational curve, hence it corresponds to a fibre of type I_0^* on the resolution Y of Σ . If we denote by f_i the class of a fibre of p_i , $i = 1, 2$, then f_i is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(\Sigma)$ and we have $f_1 f_2 = 4$. The 4 nodes lying on the same fibre of p_1 or p_2 are an even set. A singular double fibre of p_1 and a singular double fibre of p_2 intersect at 2 nodes. So the 8 nodes of Σ are divided into 4 pairs such that the union of any 2 such pairs is even.

Example 2. Let D_1, D_2 be elliptic curves and let $a_i \in D_1, b_i \in D_2$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, be the nonzero points of order 2. Denote by e_1, e_2, e_3 the standard generators of $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$. We let $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ act on D_1 as follows:

$$x_1 \xrightarrow{e_1} x_1 + a_1; \quad x_1 \xrightarrow{e_2} x_1 + a_2; \quad x_1 \xrightarrow{e_3} -x_1.$$

Analogously we let $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ act on D_2 as:

$$x_2 \xrightarrow{e_1} x_2 + b_1; \quad x_2 \xrightarrow{e_2} -x_2; \quad x_2 \xrightarrow{e_3} x_2 + b_3.$$

We consider the diagonal action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ on $A := D_1 \times D_2$, we set $\Sigma := A/\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ and we denote by $\pi: A \rightarrow \Sigma$ the quotient map. Arguing as in Example 1, one shows that Σ is a minimal Enriques surface with 8 nodes. The subgroups $G_2 := \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ and $G_3 := \langle e_1, e_3 \rangle$ act freely on A and the corresponding quotients are minimal bielliptic surfaces. The elements $e_2 + e_3$ and $e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ have 16 fixed points each and the corresponding quotients are Kummer surfaces.

The projections $A \rightarrow D_i$, $i = 1, 2$, descend to pencils of elliptic curves $p_i: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Both pencils have two smooth double fibres and two singular double fibres containing 4 nodes each (corresponding to fibres of type I_0^* on the resolution Y of Σ). If we denote by f_i the class of a fibre of p_i , $i = 1, 2$, then f_i is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(\Sigma)$ and we have $f_1 f_2 = 8$. A singular double fibre of p_1 and a singular double fibre of p_2 either intersect in 4 nodes or they meet at 2 smooth points of Σ .

We now establish some facts that we will need in the following sections.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Σ be a nodal Enriques surface and let $\pi: Z \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a totally ramified Galois cover such that Z has canonical singularities and such that the branch locus of π is contained in the singular set of Σ .*

Then $p_g(Z) = 0$.

Proof. We have $K_Z = \pi^* K_\Sigma$, since π is étale in codimension 1. Hence $2K_Z \equiv 0$, and $p_g(Z) \neq 0$ iff $K_Z \equiv 0$. Let $K \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the Kummer cover of Σ and consider the following diagram, obtained by base change:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K & \longrightarrow & \Sigma. \end{array}$$

If $K_Z \equiv 0$, then the double cover Z' is the disjoint union of two connected components, each mapping isomorphically to Z . By the commutativity of the diagram, this shows that $\pi: Z \rightarrow \Sigma$ factors through $K \rightarrow \Sigma$, contradicting the assumption that π is totally ramified. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Let Σ be a nodal Enriques surface as in Example 1 or in Example 2 and let $Y \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the minimal desingularization. Let $f_1 = 2A_1$, $f_2 = 2A_2 \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ be the classes of the fibres of the elliptic pencils of Y induced by p_1 and p_2 , and let Γ be the smallest primitive sublattice of $\text{Num}(Y)$ containing the classes of the exceptional curves of $Y \rightarrow \Sigma$. Then:*

$$\Gamma^\perp = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle.$$

Proof. The inclusion $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle \subset \Gamma^\perp$ is obvious. Since both sublattices have rank 2, to prove equality it is enough to show that they have the same discriminant. If Σ is as in Example 1, then $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ is unimodular and the result is immediate.

Assume now that Σ is as in Example 2. In this case, the discriminant of $\langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$ is equal to 4. The discriminant of $\Gamma^\perp$ is equal to the discriminant Δ of Γ , since Γ is primitive and the intersection form on $\text{Num}(Y)$ is unimodular. In addition, we have $\Delta = 2^{8-2\dim V_{\text{num}}}$ by (2.1). Hence we have to show that $\dim V_{\text{num}} \leq 3$. Since V is a subspace of V_{num} of codimension at most 1, we are going to show that $\dim V = 2$.

We have seen above that V contains two disjoint even sets J_1 and J_2 of order 4, each contained in a singular double fibre of p_1 and in a singular double fibre of p_2 . Assume by contradiction that there is an even set J of 4 nodes different from J_1 and J_2 and denote by J' the complement of J . Then each singular double fibre of p_1 and p_2 contains 2 nodes of J and 2 nodes of J' . Let $\pi: Z \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ -cover associated to the span W of J and J' in V (cf. Theorem 2.1). The surface Z is smooth with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ by Theorem 2.1 and it has $p_g(Z) = 0$ by Lemma 3.1. Since $K_Z = \pi^*K_\Sigma$, it follows that Z is bielliptic and $2K_Z \equiv 0$. The cover π factors as $Z \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow \Sigma$, where $Z_1 \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a double cover branched over J . The pull-back to Z_1 of a singular double fibre of p_1 or p_2 is again a fibre of the same type, hence it is not the double of a Cartier divisor of Z_1 . This shows that the pull-back on Z_1 of the general fibre of both p_1 and p_2 is connected. Hence the pull-backs to Z of the general fibres of p_1 and p_2 either are connected or they are the disjoint union of two smooth elliptic curves. It follows that the intersection number of the two elliptic pencils of Z is ≥ 8 , but since $2K_Z \equiv 0$ this contradicts the classification of bielliptic surfaces by Bagnera and De Franchis (see e.g. [Be1], Ch. VI). So in this case V is generated by J_1 and J_2 , and so $\dim V = 2$. $\square$

4. THE CLASSIFICATION THEOREM

This section is devoted to the proof of our main result:

Theorem 4.1. *Let Σ be an Enriques surface with 8 nodes. Then there exist elliptic curves D_1, D_2 such that Σ is constructed from D_1, D_2 as in Example 1 or in Example 2.*

We need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *If Σ is an Enriques surface with 8 nodes, then the nodes of Σ are an even set.*

Proof. Let $K \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the K3 cover of Σ . The surface K has 16 nodes, hence K is the Kummer surface of an abelian surface A (see [Ni], Theorem 1). We wish to show that the involution $\sigma: K \rightarrow K$ associated to the double cover $K \rightarrow \Sigma$ can be lifted to an involution σ' of A . Denote by $\tilde{K}$ the minimal resolutions of the singularities of K and let $C_1 \dots C_{16}$ be the nodal curves of $\tilde{K}$ arising in the resolution of the nodes of K . Taking base change, one gets the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{A} & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{K} & \longrightarrow & K \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{A}$ is the blow up of A at the 16 points of order 2. Denote by $\tilde{\sigma}$ the involution of $\tilde{K}$ induced by σ . The map $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{K}$ is flat of degree 2, branched on $C_1 + \dots + C_{16}$, and thus there exist a line bundle L on $\tilde{K}$ such that $2L \equiv \sum C_i$. Denote by $V(L)$ the total space of L and by $p: V(L) \rightarrow \tilde{K}$ the projection. The surface $\tilde{A}$ is isomorphic to the zero locus on $V(L)$ of the section $z^2 - p^*f$ of p^*L^2 , where z is the tautological section of p^*L and f is a section of L^2 vanishing on $\sum C_i$.

Notice that L is determined uniquely by the condition $2L \equiv \sum C_i$, since $\tilde{K}$ is simply connected. The divisor $\sum C_i$ is preserved by $\tilde{\sigma}$, hence $\tilde{\sigma}^*L \cong L$, $\tilde{\sigma}$ can be lifted to an automorphism σ_L of $V(L)$ and f is an eigenvector for the action of $\tilde{\sigma}$ on $H^0(\tilde{A}, L^2)$. It follows that, up to composing with an automorphism of L lifting the identity of $\tilde{K}$, we can assume that σ_L maps $\tilde{A}$ to itself. Thus $\tilde{\sigma}$ can be lifted to an automorphism σ' of $\tilde{A}$. In turn, σ' induces an automorphism of A , that we denote again by σ' , that lifts $\sigma: K \rightarrow K$. Notice that σ' acts freely on A , since σ acts freely on K . The order of σ' is either 2 or 4. Assume that it is 4, so that $\sigma'^2 = -1_A$. If we write $\sigma'z = gz + a$, with g an automorphism of A and $a \in A$, this gives $g^2 = -1_A$. It follows that the eigenvalues of the differential of g at 0 are equal to i or to $-i$. Thus the morphism $g - 1_A: A \rightarrow A$ is surjective, hence there exists $z_0 \in A$ such that $(g - 1_A)z_0 = -a$. This is the same as saying that z_0 is a fixed point of σ' . Thus we have a contradiction, showing that $\sigma'^2 = 1$.

Set $Z := A/\sigma'$. Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K & \longrightarrow & \Sigma. \end{array}$$

The surface Z is smooth, since it is a free quotient of a smooth surface, and, by the commutativity of the diagram, $Z \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a double cover of

Σ branched precisely over the 8 nodes of Σ . Thus the nodes of Σ are an even set. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 4.2, we know there exists a double cover $Z \rightarrow \Sigma$ branched over the nodes of Σ . By Proposition 2.1, Z is smooth, $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ and $\kappa(Z) = 0$. We have $p_g(Z) = 0$ by Lemma 3.1. The map π is étale in codimension 1, hence $K_Z = \pi^*K_\Sigma$ and $2K_Z \equiv 0$. So Z is minimal bielliptic. By the classification of bielliptic surfaces of Bagnera–De Franchis (cf. [Be1], Ch. VI) there exist elliptic curves D_1, D_2 such that Z is one of the following:

- a) the quotient of $D_1 \times D_2$ by the diagonal action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on D_1 by $x_1 \mapsto -x_1$, and on D_2 by $x_2 \mapsto x_2 + b$, with b a point of order 2 of D_2 .
- b) the quotient of $D_1 \times D_2$ by the diagonal action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$, where the standard generators e_1, e_2 of $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ act on D_1 by:

$$x_1 \xrightarrow{e_1} x_1 + a_1; \quad x_1 \xrightarrow{e_2} x_1 + a_2,$$

where $a_1 \neq a_2$ are points of order 2 of D_1 , and they act on D_2 by:

$$x_2 \xrightarrow{e_1} x_2 + b_1; \quad x_2 \xrightarrow{e_2} -x_2,$$

where b_1 is a point of order 2 of D_2 .

We consider the composite map $D_1 \times D_2 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \Sigma$ and we wish to show that it is a Galois cover with Galois group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ in case a) and to $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ in case b). As in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K & \longrightarrow & \Sigma, \end{array}$$

where K is the K3 cover of Σ and A is an abelian surface. The cover $A \rightarrow Z$ is the étale cover given by the canonical class of Z , therefore we have $A = D_1 \times D_2$ in case a) and $A = (D_1 \times D_2)/e_1$ in case b). Consider case a) first. Here K is the Kummer surface of $D_1 \times D_2$ and the involution associated with $A \rightarrow K$ can be written as $x_1 \mapsto -x_1 + a$, $x_2 \mapsto -x_2 + b'$. In addition, by a suitable choice of the origin of D_2 , we may assume $b' = 0$. This involution and the involution associated with $D_1 \times D_2$ are in the Galois group of $D_1 \times D_2 \rightarrow \Sigma$, which is therefore isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$. Using this remark it is easy to see that a is a point of order 2 of D_1 . In addition, a must be nonzero, since otherwise the branch locus of $D_1 \times D_2 \rightarrow \Sigma$ would have dimension 1. Thus in this case Σ is the surface of Example 1.

Consider now case b). Arguing as before, one shows that the Galois group of $D_1 \times D_2$ contains elements of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2) &\xrightarrow{e_1} (x_1 + a_1, x_2 + b_1) \\ (x_1, x_2) &\xrightarrow{e_2} (x_1 + a_2, -x_2) \\ (x_1, x_2) &\xrightarrow{e_3} (-x_1, x_2 + b_3), \end{aligned}$$

with $a_1 \neq a_2 \in D_1$ and $b_1 \neq b_3 \in D_2$ points of order 2. So in this case Σ is the surface of Example 2. $\square$

5. THE BICANONICAL IMAGE OF A SURFACE WITH $p_g = 0$

In this section we apply the previous results to prove the following:

Theorem 5.1. *Let S be a minimal surface of general type with $p_g(S) = 0$, $K_S^2 \geq 3$ and let $\varphi: S \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{P}^{K_S^2}$ be the bicanonical map of S . If φ is not birational, then either*

i) X is a rational surface,

or

ii) $K_S^2 = 3$, φ is a morphism of degree 2 and $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is an Enriques sextic.

Proof. By [Xi2] the bicanonical image X of S is a surface in $\mathbb{P}^{K_S^2}$. Note that necessarily $p_g(X) = q(X) = 0$. Now assume that X is not rational. Then $\deg X \geq 2K_S^2 - 1$ (see Lemma 1.4 and Remark 1.5 of [Be2]), and, denoting by d the degree of φ , we have $4K_S^2 \geq d \deg X \geq d(2K_S^2 - 1)$, namely $d = 2$.

Denote by T the quotient of S by the bicanonical involution. By Theorem 3 and Lemma 7 of [Xi1], $K_S^2 = 3$ or $K_S^2 = 4$ and there exists a birational morphism $T \rightarrow \Sigma$ where Σ is a nodal Enriques surface with $K_S^2 + 4$ nodes. The map $S \rightarrow \Sigma$ is branched on the nodes of Σ and on a divisor B of Σ with negligible singularities, contained in the smooth part of Σ . Furthermore $B^2 = 2K_S^2$. The system $|B|$ pulls back to the complete bicanonical system of S , and thus, because $d = 2$, the map determined by $|B|$ is birational and B is nef.

We claim that $|B|$ is base point free. Assume otherwise and suppose first that $|B|$ has a fixed part. Write $|B| = |M| + F$, where M and F are the moving part and the fixed part of B , respectively. Since B is contained in the smooth part of Σ , also F and the general curve in $|M|$ do not pass through the nodes of Σ . The divisor B is nef and therefore we have $0 \leq MB = M^2 + MF$, $0 \leq FB = F^2 + MF$ and $MF \geq 1$ (cf. Lemma 2.6 of [ML]). Hence $M^2 + MF \leq B^2$, i.e. $M^2 \leq B^2 - MF < B^2 = 2K_S^2$. This implies that the image of φ is a

surface of degree $< 2K_S^2$ in $\mathbb{P}^{K_S^2}$, which is not possible for an Enriques surface (cf. [CD], Ch. IV). The same argument shows that, if the general curve in $|B|$ is irreducible then $|B|$ has no base points. Hence φ is a morphism and $\deg X = 2K_S^2$. The surfaces X and Σ have the same minimal desingularization, which is an Enriques surface.

We now show that the case $K_S^2 = 4$ cannot occur. Suppose otherwise. Then the surface Σ has 8 nodes and thus it is the surface of Example 1 or the surface of Example 2. In either case, Σ has two pencils of elliptic curves $|f_1|$, $|f_2|$ each containing two double fibres not passing through the nodes of E . Let $2A_1$, respectively $2A_2$, be such a double fibre of f_1 , respectively f_2 . Recall that $A_1A_2 = 1$ in Example 1 and $A_1A_2 = 2$ in Example 2. We consider the minimal desingularization $\eta: Y \rightarrow \Sigma$ of Σ , and we denote by the same letters the pullbacks to Y of Cartier divisors of Σ .

By Proposition 3.2, $B \sim_{\text{num}} \lambda_1 A_1 + \lambda_2 A_2$, where λ_1, λ_2 are integers. The divisor $B + C_1 + \cdots + C_8$ is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(Y)$ since it is the branch locus of a double cover, and $C_1 + \cdots + C_8$ is divisible by 2 by Lemma 4.2. Thus B is also divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(Y)$, hence λ_1, λ_2 are even. Finally one has $8 = B^2 = 2\lambda_1\lambda_2A_1A_2$. So the only possibility is that $A_1A_2 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 2$. Hence Σ is the surface of Example 1 and $B \sim_{\text{num}} f_1 + f_2$. Since both f_1 and f_2 are 2-divisible in $\text{Pic}(Y)$, we actually have $B \equiv f_1 + f_2$. On the other hand, the system $|f_1 + f_2|$ is not birational by [CD], Theorem 4.6.1. Therefore the case $K_S^2 = 4$ does not occur. $\square$

Remark 5.2. In [Na] Daniel Naie constructs surfaces of general type with $p_g = 0$ and $K^2 = 4$ as double covers of Enriques surfaces. The proof of Theorem 4.1 shows that the minimal surfaces S of general type with $p_g = 0$ and $K^2 = 4$ having an involution σ such that

- i) S/σ is birational to an Enriques surface and
 - ii) the bicanonical map is composed with σ
- are precisely Naie's surfaces.

REFERENCES

- [Be1] A. Beauville, *Complex algebraic surfaces*, 2nd ed., CUP (1996).
- [Be2] A. Beauville, *L'application canonique pour les surfaces de type général*, Invent. Math., **55** (1979), 121–140.
- [CD] F. Cossec, I. Dolgachev, *Enriques surfaces I*, Progress in Mathematics **76**, Birkhäuser (1989).
- [DMP] I. Dolgachev, M. Mendes Lopes, R. Pardini, *Rational surfaces with many nodes*, Comp. Math. (to appear).
- [Mi] Y. Miyaoka, *The maximal number of quotient singularities on surfaces with given numerical invariants*, Math. Ann., **268** (1973), 159–171.

- [ML] M. Mendes Lopes, *Adjoint systems on surfaces*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., A (7) **10** (1996), no. 1, 169–179.
- [Na] D. Naie, *Surfaces d'Enriques et une construction de surfaces de type général avec $p_g = 0$* , Math. Z., **215** 2 (1994), 269–280.
- [Ni] V.V. Nikulin, *On Kummer surfaces*, Math. USSR Izvestija, Vol. **9** (1975), no. 2, 261–275.
- [Xi1] G. Xiao, *Degree of the bicanonical map of a surface of general type*, Amer. J. of Math., **112** (1990), 713–737.
- [Xi2] G. Xiao, *Finitude de l'application bicanonique des surfaces de type général*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **113** (1985), 23–51.

Margarida Mendes Lopes
CMAF
Universidade de Lisboa
Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2
1649-003 Lisboa, PORTUGAL
mmlopes@lmc.fc.ul.pt

Rita Pardini
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Pisa
Via Buonarroti 2
56127 Pisa, ITALY
pardini@dm.unipi.it